		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	nan/	10/620,956	RHODES ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Daniel P Stephenson	3672
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>			
(1) <u>Daniel P Stephenson (Examiner)</u> .		(3)	
(2) Alan R. Thiele (attorney for applicant).		(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 November 2004		Time: <u>12:30</u>	
Type of Interview:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
19			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	HE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
·			
		•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/	Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was related to the applicant that claim 19 had been omitted from the last office action. The other claims presented in the amendment were in condition for allowance, and either a notice of non-compliance could be mailed out or to further prosecution of the case an examiner's amendment cancelling claim 19 could be done, and the case passed to issue. Applicant agreed to cancel claim 19 through examiner's amendment.